

# Entropoids: Groups in Disguise

Lorenz Panny

Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

MathCrypt, online, 15 August 2021

poster presentation (no actual poster exists)

# Summary

# Summary

- ▶ Someone invented a new post-quantum cryptosystem.

# Summary

- ▶ Someone invented a new post-quantum cryptosystem.
- ▶ It's broken.\* 😊

# Summary

- ▶ Someone invented a new post-quantum cryptosystem.
- ▶ It's broken.\* 😊

\* All proposed instantiations are dead, and the attack strategy is fairly generic (but no proof).

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make **Diffie–Hellman** “work” with **less structure**.

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make [Diffie–Hellman](#) “work” with [less structure](#).
- ▶ Suggestion: Use [entropic](#) magmas.



# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make Diffie–Hellman “work” with less structure.
- ▶ Suggestion: Use entropic magmas.
  - ▶ A magma is a set  $G$  with a binary operation  $*$ :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ .

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make Diffie–Hellman “work” with less structure.
- ▶ Suggestion: Use entropic magmas.
  - ▶ A magma is a set  $G$  with a binary operation  $*$ :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ .
  - ▶ The entropic property is  $(a * b) * (c * d) = (a * c) * (b * d)$ .

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make **Diffie–Hellman** “work” with **less structure**.
- ▶ Suggestion: Use **entropic** magmas.
  - ▶ A **magma** is a **set**  $G$  with a **binary operation**  $*$ :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ .
  - ▶ The **entropic** property is  $(a * b) * (c * d) = (a * c) * (b * d)$ .
  - ▶  $\implies$  **non-associative exponentiation** satisfies  $(x^A)^B = (x^B)^A$ .

# Entropic magmas

Entropoid-based cryptography [Gligoroski, ePrint 2021/469]:

- ▶ Goal: Make **Diffie–Hellman** “work” with **less structure**.
- ▶ Suggestion: Use **entropic** magmas.
  - ▶ A **magma** is a **set**  $G$  with a **binary operation**  $*$ :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ .
  - ▶ The **entropic** property is  $(a * b) * (c * d) = (a * c) * (b * d)$ .
  - ▶  $\implies$  **non-associative exponentiation** satisfies  $(x^A)^B = (x^B)^A$ .

Non-associative exponents are

$-$ ,  $\square$ ,  $\square\square$ ,  $(\square\square)\square$ ,  $\square(\square\square)$ ,  $\square(\square(\square\square))$ ,  $\square((\square\square)\square)$ ,  $(\square\square)(\square\square)$ ,  $((\square\square)\square)\square$ ,  $(\square(\square\square))\square$ , ...  
and so on. You get the idea.

# Structure theorem

Murdoch/Toyoda/Bruck (1939–1944):

# Structure theorem

Murdoch/Toyoda/Bruck (1939–1944):

**Theorem.** For every entropic quasigroup  $(G, *)$ , there exists an abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$ , commuting automorphisms  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $(G, \cdot)$ , and an element  $c \in G$ , such that

$$x * y = x^\sigma \cdot y^\tau \cdot c.$$

# Structure theorem

Murdoch/Toyoda/Bruck (1939–1944):

**Theorem.** For every entropic quasigroup  $(G, *)$ , there exists an abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$ , commuting automorphisms  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $(G, \cdot)$ , and an element  $c \in G$ , such that

$$x * y = x^\sigma \cdot y^\tau \cdot c.$$

$\implies$  All non-associative powers of an element  $x$  are of the form

$$x^A = x^\xi \cdot c^\gamma$$

with  $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \tau]$ .

# Structure theorem

Murdoch/Toyoda/Bruck (1939–1944):

**Theorem.** For every entropic quasigroup  $(G, *)$ , there exists an abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$ , commuting automorphisms  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $(G, \cdot)$ , and an element  $c \in G$ , such that

$$x * y = x^\sigma \cdot y^\tau \cdot c.$$

$\implies$  All non-associative powers of an element  $x$  are of the form

$$x^A = x^\xi \cdot c^\gamma$$

with  $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \tau]$ . **Recovering  $\xi, \gamma$  reduces to DLP in  $(G, \cdot)$ .**



## Ambiguous equivalent keys

Previous slide: Recover  $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \tau]$  such that  $g^{\mathbf{A}} = g^{\xi} \cdot c^{\gamma}$ .

Idea:  $(\xi, \gamma)$  is an *equivalent key* for  $\mathbf{A}$ .

## Ambiguous equivalent keys

Previous slide: Recover  $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \tau]$  such that  $g^{\mathbf{A}} = g^{\xi} \cdot c^{\gamma}$ .

Idea:  $(\xi, \gamma)$  is an *equivalent key* for  $\mathbf{A}$ .

However,  $(\xi, \gamma)$  are **non-unique** given just one pair  $(g, g^{\mathbf{A}})$ , and different solutions yield different  $x \mapsto x^{\xi} \cdot c^{\gamma}$ .

# Ambiguous equivalent keys

Previous slide: Recover  $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \tau]$  such that  $g^{\mathbf{A}} = g^{\xi} \cdot c^{\gamma}$ .

Idea:  $(\xi, \gamma)$  is an *equivalent key* for  $\mathbf{A}$ .

However,  $(\xi, \gamma)$  are **non-unique** given just one pair  $(g, g^{\mathbf{A}})$ , and different solutions yield different  $x \mapsto x^{\xi} \cdot c^{\gamma}$ .

Solution (me, 2021):

**Lemma.** The correct solution  $(\xi, \gamma)$  satisfies  $\xi = 1 + (\sigma + \tau - 1) \cdot \gamma$ . Any such  $(\xi, \gamma)$  computes  $x \mapsto x^{\mathbf{A}}$  correctly for all  $x$  in  $\langle g \rangle_*$ .

$\implies$  Have only one unknown  $\gamma$  instead of two  $(\xi, \gamma)$ .

## Finding the group

Given an algorithm for  $*$ , how to recover  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$ ?

## Finding the group

Given an algorithm for  $*$ , how to recover  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$ ?

Generally: Unclear.

# Finding the group

Given an algorithm for  $*$ , how to recover  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$ ?

Generally: Unclear.

Less generally: If one-sided divisions are efficient, can construct  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$  from that. (Mimic proof of structure theorem.)

# Finding the group

Given an algorithm for  $*$ , how to recover  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$ ?

Generally: Unclear.

Less generally: If one-sided divisions are efficient, can construct  $\cdot$  and  $\sigma, \tau, c$  from that. (Mimic proof of structure theorem.)

In ePrint 2021/469: Everything is **algebraic**, and with a little work we find an isomorphism  $(G, \cdot) \cong (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ .

Is it kapot?

Attack cost for 128-bit post-quantum secure parameters:



# Is it kapot?

Attack cost for 128-bit post-quantum secure parameters:

< 10 minutes on a laptop.

Thanks!

<https://ia.cr/2021/583>